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## 1. Introduction

Aumann and Shapley (1974) proved the existence of a unique value on the space $b v^{\prime} N A$, the closure in the variation norm of the linear space spanned by all games $f \circ \mu$, where $\mu$ is a non-atomic probability measure and $f$ a real-valued function on $[0,1]$ which is of bounded variation, continuous at $0=f(0)$ and at 1. Neyman (1981) proved that this unique value is also an asymptotic value, and that the asymptotic approach fails when $f$ is of unbounded variation: for some $\{0,1\}$ valued function on $[0,1]$, which is continuous at 0 and 1 and vanishes outside a countable set, $f \circ \mu$ does not have an asymptotic value. Tauman (1979) proved however that the axiomatic approach works also for games of unbounded variation: there exists a value of norm 1 on the space spanned by all games of the form $f \circ \mu$ where $\mu$ is a non-atomic probability measure and $f$ is integrable and continuous at 0 and 1 . The present paper removes the integrability assumption and weakens that of continuity: we prove the existence of a value of norm 1 on the spaces 'AN, the closure in the variation distance of the linear space spanned by all games $f \circ \mu$, where $\mu$ is a non-atomic, non-negative finitely additive measure of mass 1 and $f$ a real-valued function on $[0,1]$ which satisfies a much weaker continuity at 0 and 1 . Under this value, $f \circ \mu$ is mapped to $f(1) \mu$. Moreover, even when the player set is standard Borel, there are other values of norm 1 on ' $A N$, that differ already on smooth functions of a finitely additive and non-atomic measure.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $(I, \mathscr{C})$ be a measurable space. The members of the set $I$ are called players, those of $\mathscr{C}$, coalitions. A game is a real-valued function $v$ on $\mathscr{C}$ such that $v(\emptyset)=0$. The linear space of all games is denoted $G$. A game $v \in G$ is finitely additive if $v(S \cup T)=v(S)+v(T)$ whenever $S$ and $T$ are two disjoint coalitions.

A game $v$ is monotone if $v(S) \leq v(T)$ whenever $S \subset T$. The variation of a game $v \in G,\|v\|$, is the supremum of the variation of $v$ over all increasing chains $S_{1} \subset S_{2} \subset \cdots \subset S_{n}$ in $\mathscr{C}$. A game $v \in G$ has bounded variation if $\|v\|<\infty$. The space of all games of bounded variation, $B V$, is a Banach space. The variation metric given by $d\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=$ $\min \left\{1,\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|\right\}$ defines a distance (and hence a topology) on $G$.
$F A$ (resp. $M$ ) is the set of additive (resp. countably additive) $v \in B V$. $A N($ resp. $N A)$ is the set of non-atomic elements of $F A$ (resp. M). Given a set of games $Q, Q_{+}$denotes the monotone games in $Q$, and $Q_{1}$ all games $v$ in $Q_{+}$with $v(I)=1$.

Denote by $\mathscr{G}$ the group of automorphisms (i.e., one-to-one measurable mappings $\theta$ from $I$ onto $I$ with $\theta^{-1}$ measurable) of the underlying space $(I, \mathscr{C})$. Each $\theta$ in $\mathscr{G}$ induces a linear mapping $\theta^{*}$ of $G$ onto itself, defined by $\left(\theta^{*}(v)\right)(S)=v\left(\theta^{-1}(S)\right)$. A set of games $Q$ is called
symmetric if $\theta^{*}(Q)=Q$ for all $\theta$ in $\mathscr{G}$.
Definition 1. Let $Q$ be a symmetric linear subspace of $G$.
A map $\varphi: Q \rightarrow G$ is called positive if $\varphi\left(Q_{+}\right) \subseteq G_{+}$; symmetric if for every $\theta \in \mathscr{G} \varphi \circ \theta^{*}=\theta^{*} \circ \varphi$; and efficient if for every $v$ in $Q$, $(\varphi(v))(I)=v(I)$.
$A$ value on $Q$ is a symmetric, positive and efficient linear map from $Q$ to $F A$.

When $Q \subseteq B V$, the above definition of a value coincides with that in (Aumann and Shapley, 1974). It is a natural extension to include also spaces of games that are not necessarily subsets of $B V$.

The upper (lower) average of a function from an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ is its upper (lower) Denjoy-Perron (or other) integral divided by the length of that interval.

Let ' be the set of all functions $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following weakened continuity at 0 and 1 : the upper and lower averages of $f$ over the intervals $[0, \varepsilon]$ and $[1-\varepsilon, 1]$ converge as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ to $f(0)=0$ and $f(1)$ respectively. The subspace of all polynomials is denoted $p$. The subspace of all functions with bounded variation in ' is denoted $b v^{\prime}$. The subspace of all integrable functions $f$ that are continuous at 0 and 1 is denoted $I n^{\prime}$. Given subsets $x$ of ' and $Y$ of $G, x Y$ is the closed linear subspace of $G$ spanned by the games $f \circ \gamma$ with $f \in x$ and $\gamma \in Y_{1}$.

Obviously $p N A \subset b v^{\prime} N A \subset I n^{\prime} N A \subset ' A N$. Aumann and Shapley (1974) and Tauman (1979) prove the existence of a value on $b v^{\prime} N A$ and $I n^{\prime} N A$ respectively.

## 3. The Theorem

The objective of the present paper is:
Theorem 1. There exists a value of norm 1 on 'AN.
We show first that whenever $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}$ is bounded, with $\mu_{i} \in A N_{1}$, $f_{i} \in^{\prime}$, and $\mu_{i} \neq \mu_{j}$ for $j \neq i$, all the $f_{i}$ 's are bounded. Using an extension of Lebesgue measure to all sets we show next that $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(1) \mu_{i}\right\| \leq$ $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}\right\|$. Therefore the map $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i} \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(1) \mu_{i}$ defines a value of norm 1 on 'AN.

Lyapunov's (1940) classical convexity theorem asserts that the range of a vector $\vec{\mu}=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right),\{\vec{\mu}(S) \mid S \in \mathscr{C}\}$, of non-atomic probability measures, is convex (and compact); equivalently, for every ideal coalition $\chi$ (a measurable function $\chi: I \rightarrow[0,1])$ there is a coalition $T \in \mathscr{C}$ with $\vec{\mu}(T)=\vec{\mu}(\xi)$.

We make repeated use of the following generalizations and application of Lyapunov's theorem: given a vector of non-atomic finitely additive measures $\vec{\mu}=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$, (1) for every ideal coalition $\xi$, there is a coalition $T$ with $\vec{\mu}(T)=\vec{\mu}(\xi)$, and more generally, (2) for every
increasing sequence of ideal coalitions $\chi_{1} \leq \ldots \leq \chi_{m}$ there is an increasing sequence of coalitions $S_{1} \subset \ldots \subset S_{m}$ such that $\vec{\mu}\left(S_{j}\right)=\vec{\mu}\left(\chi_{j}\right)$ (Mertens, 1990), and (3) there is a coalition $S$ such that $\mu_{i}(S) \neq \mu_{j}(S)$ for all pairs $i, j$ with $\mu_{i} \neq \mu_{j}$ (otherwise the range of $\vec{\mu}$ is contained in the union of the hyperplanes $x_{i}=x_{j}$ where $i, j$ are the pairs such that $\mu_{i} \neq \mu_{j}$, which contradicts the convexity of the range of $\vec{\mu}$ unless all the measures $\mu_{i}$ are identical).
Lemma 1. Assume that $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n} \in A N_{1}$ are different, and that $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in^{\prime}$. Then if $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}$ is bounded, so is each $f_{i}$.
Proof. As each function $f_{i}$ is in ', there is $0<\delta<1 / 3$ such that for every $0<\varepsilon \leq \delta$ the upper and lower averages of each function $f_{i}$ over the intervals $[0,2 \varepsilon]$ and $[1-2 \varepsilon, 1]$ are within 1 of $f(0)$ and $f(1)$ respectively. Therefore, for every $\delta_{j}$ with $0<\left|\delta_{j}\right| \leq 1$ and every $y \in(0, \delta] \cup[1-\delta, 1)$ the upper and lower averages of $a \mapsto f_{j}\left(y+a \delta_{j}\right)$ over the interval $0<a<\min \{y, 1-y\}$ are bounded in absolute value by $3 / \delta_{j}+\left|f_{j}(1)\right|$. There exists $S \in \mathscr{C}$ with $\mu_{i}(S) \neq \mu_{j}(S)$ whenever $i \neq j$. Fix $1 \leq i \leq n$ and a sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in $(0, \delta] \cup[1-\delta, 1)$. Set $\delta_{j}=\mu_{j}(S)-\mu_{i}(S)$. For every $a \leq \min \left\{x_{k}, 1-x_{k}\right\}, a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I$ is an ideal coalition. On the one hand,

$$
\mu_{i}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)=x_{k}
$$

and so $f_{i}\left(\mu_{i}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)\right)=f_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)$. On the other hand, for every $j \neq i \mu_{j}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)=x_{k}+a \delta_{j}$, and thus the upper and lower averages of $a \mapsto f_{j}\left(\mu_{j}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)\right)$ over $0<a<\min \left\{x_{k}, 1-x_{k}\right\}$ are bounded in absolute value by $3 / \delta_{j}+\left|f_{j}(1)\right|$. Hence the upper and lower averages of the map $a \mapsto \sum_{j \neq i} f_{j}\left(\mu_{j}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)\right)$ over $0<a<\min \left\{x_{k}, 1-x_{k}\right\}$ are bounded in absolute value by $\sum_{j \neq i} 3 / \delta_{j}+\left|f_{j}(1)\right|$. As the game $\sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j} \circ \mu_{j}$ is bounded, the upper and lower averages of the map $a \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{j}\left(\mu_{j}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)\right)=$ $f_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)+\sum_{j \neq i} f_{j}\left(\mu_{j}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)\right)$ over $0<a<\min \left\{x_{k}, 1-x_{k}\right\}$ are bounded, implying that the sequence $f_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)$ is bounded. So each $f_{i}$ is bounded on $[0, \delta]$ and on $[1-\delta, 1]$.

Define $\alpha_{i}=\inf \left\{x \in[0,1] \mid f_{i}\right.$ is bounded on $\left.[x, 1]\right\}$. As $f_{i}$ is bounded on $[1-\delta, 1], \alpha_{i} \leq 1-\delta$. As $f_{i}$ is bounded on [ $\left.0, \delta\right]$, either $\alpha_{i}=0$ in which case $f_{i}$ is bounded on $[0,1]$, or $\alpha_{i} \geq \delta$. Assume $x=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_{i} \geq \delta$, and set $I=\left\{i \mid \alpha_{i}=x\right\}$. Let $i \in I$ with $\mu_{i}(S) \leq \mu_{j}(S)$ for every $j \in I$. There exists a sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converging to $x$ such that $\left|f_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Fix $a>0$ sufficiently small so that $2 a<\min (x, 1-x)$ and $\alpha_{j}<x-2 a$ whenever $j \notin I$ and $a<\left|\mu_{k}(S)-\mu_{j}(S)\right|$ whenever $k \neq j$. Then $a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I$ is an ideal coalition whenever $(1+x) / 2>x_{k}>x / 2$. On the one hand,

$$
\mu_{i}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)=x_{k}
$$

and so $f_{i}\left(\mu_{i}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)\right)=f_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)$ is unbounded. On the other hand, for every $j \neq i \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{j}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)=$
$x+a\left(\mu_{j}(S)-\mu_{i}(S)\right)$. Note that $x+a\left(\mu_{j}(S)-\mu_{i}(S)\right)>\alpha_{j}+a^{2}$ whenever $j \neq i$ and hence for $k$ sufficiently large

$$
\mu_{j}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)>\alpha_{j}+a^{2}
$$

and therefore for every $j \neq i$ the sequence $f_{j}\left(\mu_{j}\left(a S+\left(x_{k}-a \mu_{i}(S)\right) I\right)\right)=$ $f_{j}\left(x_{k}+a\left(\mu_{j}(S)-\mu_{i}(S)\right)\right)$ is bounded. Thus $\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{k} \circ \mu_{k}$ is an unbounded game.

The next is a "classic" corollary of the Markov-Kakutani theorem:
Lemma 2. Let $E$ be the space of all real-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ that are majorized in absolute value by some Lebesgue-integrable function. There exists a translation invariant positive linear functional on $E$ extending the Lebesgue integral.

Proof. Define $p(f)$ for $f \in E$ as the upper-integral: $\inf \left\{\int g d x \mid g \in\right.$ $\left.\mathscr{L}_{1}, g \geq f\right\}$. Notice that $p(f+g) \leq p(f)+p(g)$ and $p(\alpha f)=\alpha p(f)$ whenever $f, g \in E$ and $\alpha \geq 0$, and thus the Hahn-Banach theorem yields the existence of a linear functional $\varphi$ on $E$ with $\varphi \leq p: \varphi$ is a positive linear functional and extends the Lebesgue integral.

For $f \in E$ let $\|f\|=p(|f|)$ : this turns $E$ into a semi-normed space. The set of all positive linear functionals that extend the Lebesgue integral is a weak*-compact convex subset $C$ of the unit ball of the dual $E^{\prime}$, and $C \neq \emptyset$ as just argued.

Let, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in E, T_{t}(f): x \mapsto f(x+t)$ : this is an abelian group of isometries of $E$; the transposes $T_{t}^{*}$ are continuous linear maps from $C$ to itself; hence by the Markov-Kakutani theorem (Dunford and Schwartz, 1958, p. 456) there exists a common fixed point in $C$ of all $T_{t}^{*}$ : this is a translation invariant extension.

In what follows we fix such a translation invariant extension, $\mathscr{L}$, and for a bounded function $g$ on $\mathbb{R}$, and $a \leq b$ in $\mathbb{R}$, let $\int_{a}^{b} g(x) L(d x)=$ $\mathscr{L}\left(g \mathbb{1}_{[a, b)}\right)$, where $\mathbb{1}_{A}(x)=1$ if $x \in A$ and 0 otherwise. The crucial step is the following:

Proposition 1. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ in ' and $\vec{\mu}=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$ in $\left(A N_{1}\right)^{n}$,

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(1) \mu_{i}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}\right\|
$$

Proof. Set $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}$ and $\varphi v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(1) \mu_{i}$. We must prove $\|\varphi v\| \leq\|v\|$.

We can assume that the right hand member $(\|v\|)$ is finite; hence that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}$ is bounded. Since w.l.o.g. $\mu_{i} \neq \mu_{j}$ for $i \neq j$, lemma 1 shows then that $f_{i}$ is bounded.

Obviously, $\varphi v \in A N \subset F A$. For each $u \in F A,\|u\|=\sup _{S \in \mathscr{C}}|u(S)|+$ $\left|u\left(S^{c}\right)\right|$. It suffices thus to prove that for every coalition $S \in \mathscr{C}$, $|\varphi v(S)|+\left|\varphi v\left(S^{c}\right)\right| \leq\|v\|$.

For each positive integer $m$ let $S_{0} \subset S_{1} \subset \cdots \subset S_{m}$ and $S_{0}^{c} \subset$ $S_{1}^{c} \subset \cdots \subset S_{m}^{c}$ be measurable subsets of $S$ and $S^{c}=I \backslash S$ respectively with $\vec{\mu}\left(S_{j}\right)=\frac{j}{m+1} \vec{\mu}(S)$ and $\vec{\mu}\left(S_{j}^{c}\right)=\frac{j}{m+1} \vec{\mu}\left(S^{c}\right)$. For every $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{m+1}$ let $I_{t}$ be a measurable subset of $I \backslash\left(S_{m} \cup S_{m}^{c}\right)$ with $\vec{\mu}\left(I_{t}\right)=\stackrel{m}{=}(I)$. Define the increasing sequence of coalitions $T_{0} \subset T_{1} \subset \ldots T_{2 m}$ by $T_{0}=I_{t}, T_{2 j-1}=I_{t} \cup S_{j} \cup S_{j-1}^{c}$ and $T_{2 j}=$ $I_{t} \cup S_{j} \cup S_{j}^{c}, j=1, \ldots, m$. Obviously, $\|v\| \geq \sum_{j=1}^{2 m}\left|v\left(T_{j}\right)-v\left(T_{j-1}\right)\right| \geq$ $\left|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} v\left(T_{2 j+1}\right)-v\left(T_{2 j}\right)\right|+\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} v\left(T_{2 j}\right)-v\left(T_{2 j-1}\right)\right|$. Set $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{m+1}$. Note that $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(t+\varepsilon j+\varepsilon \mu_{i}(S)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t+j \varepsilon)\right] L(d t)=$ $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{1-\varepsilon}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(t+\varepsilon \mu_{i}(S)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t)\right] L(d t) \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{\longrightarrow} \varphi v(S)$, and similarly $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t+\varepsilon j)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(t+j \varepsilon-\varepsilon \mu_{i}\left(S^{c}\right)\right)\right] L(d t)=$ $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(t-\varepsilon \mu_{i}\left(S^{c}\right)\right)\right] L(d t) \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{ } \varphi v\left(S^{c}\right)$. As $v\left(T_{2 j+1}\right)-$ $v\left(T_{2 j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(t+\varepsilon j+\varepsilon \mu_{i}(S)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t+j \varepsilon)$, and $v\left(T_{2 j}\right)-v\left(T_{2 j-1}\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t+2 j \varepsilon)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(t+2 j \varepsilon-\varepsilon \mu_{i}\left(S^{c}\right)\right)$, we deduce that for each fixed $0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon,\left|\sum_{j=0}^{m=1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(t+\varepsilon j+\varepsilon \mu_{i}(S)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t+j \varepsilon)\right]\right|+$ $\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(t+\varepsilon j)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(t+j \varepsilon-\varepsilon \mu_{i}\left(S^{c}\right)\right)\right]\right| \leq\|v\|$ and therefore $|\varphi v(S)|+\left|\varphi v\left(S^{c}\right)\right| \leq\|v\|$.

Proof of the Theorem. Consider the linear space $Q$ generated by all games of the form $f \circ \mu$ where $f \in^{\prime}$ and $\mu \in A N_{1}$. Any $v \in Q$ is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}$ where $f_{i} \in^{\prime}$ and $\mu_{i} \in A N_{1}$. Define $\varphi: Q \rightarrow A N$ by $\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(1) \mu_{i}$. The proposition implies that $\varphi$ is well defined, i.e., independent of the representation. Indeed, if $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} g_{k} \circ \nu_{k}, 0=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}-\sum_{k=1}^{m} g_{k} \circ \nu_{k} \in B V$, and thus by the proposition $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(1) \mu_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} g_{k}(1) \nu_{k}$. Efficiency, linearity and symmetry follow now from the definition of $\varphi$. Finally, the proposition implies that $\|\varphi v\| \leq\|v\|$, so $\varphi$ can be extended to a linear, efficient and symmetric map $\varphi:^{\prime} A N \rightarrow A N(\subseteq F A)$ such that $\|\varphi v\| \leq\|v\|$. This last property and efficiency imply that $\varphi$ is positive.

## 4. Comments

4.1. Continuity at 0 and 1. Previous papers on scalar-measure games $f \circ \mu$ assumed continuity of $f$ at 0 and 1 - and this was understood as the definition of '. This concept is used however only in the definitions of $I n^{\prime}$ and $b v^{\prime}$ (cf. above); the former is subsumed by the present paper, and the definition of the latter is not changed here, since functions in $b v$ anyway have limits at 0 and 1.

We could have used any other concept of integral to define the space ' - in fact, the only properties we use are linearity, monotonicity, and translation and scale covariance. But the Denjoy integral is applicable to a wider class of functions than any other classical integration theory (Riemann, Lebesgue, ...); hence it implies a bigger space '. For example, for $\alpha<\beta^{+}, x^{-\alpha} \cos \left(x^{-\beta}\right) \in^{\prime}$, while using Lebesgue instead of Denjoy-Perron (or at least Newton) in the definition would further re-
quire $\alpha<1$ : the additional absolute summability requirement is clearly irrelevant (and would amount to again sneaking some $b v$ requirement into the definition, this time on the primitive).

A further extension: apply our result to the symmetrized game ( $v \mapsto \hat{v}$ where $\hat{v}(S)=\frac{1}{2}(v(S)+v(I)-v(C S)$ ), obtaining thus a value on the sum of the present space and that of all anti-symmetric games $(v(S)=v(\complement S))$. Then, for $f \circ \mu$ to belong to this space, it would suffice that $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{0}^{\delta}[f(1-y)-f(y)] d y=f(1)$ in the sense of upper- and lower- Denjoy-integrals (and $f(0)=0$ ) - thus defining a larger ${ }^{\prime}$.
4.2. 'AN $\cap \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{V}=\boldsymbol{b} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{N}$ ? We suspect that maybe ' $A N \cap B V=b v A N$ (equivalently: ' $N A \cap B V=b v N A$ ), and conceivably even the stronger result: $\sum_{i} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i} \in B V$, where $f_{i} \in^{\prime}$ and $\mu_{i}$ are distinct elements of $A N_{1}$, implies $f_{i} \in b v^{\prime} \forall i$. Here we reduce these problems to the case where the $f_{i}$ 's are continuous, and are smooth in the interior of $[0,1]$.

Lemma 3. If $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i} \in B V$, with $f_{i} \in^{\prime}$, $\mu_{i} \in A N_{1}, \mu_{i} \neq \mu_{j}$ for $i \neq j$, then:
(1) $\exists h_{i}$ which are continuous on $[0,1]$ and $C^{\infty}$ on $] 0,1[$ such that $f_{i}-h_{i} \in b v^{\prime}$.
(2) $v$ is "continuous in variation" at 0 (and similarly at 1), i.e. $\forall \varepsilon>0 \exists \delta>0$ : for any ideal coalition $\chi$ with $\mu_{i}(\chi) \leq \delta \forall i$ the variation of $v$ on $[0, \chi]$ is $\leq \varepsilon$.
Proof. By lemma 1, all $f_{i}$ are bounded.
Step 1: $\forall \varepsilon>0 f_{i}$ has bounded variation on $[\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$ :
Fix $S \in \mathscr{C}$ such that $\mu_{i}(S) \neq \mu_{1}(S)$ for $i \neq 1$, and set $\rho=$ $\min _{i \neq 1}\left|\mu_{i}(S)-\mu_{1}(S)\right|$.

The function $f_{i}^{\varepsilon}$, defined on $[\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$ by (with $L(d \theta)$ as above)

$$
f_{i}^{\varepsilon}(x)=\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} f_{i}\left(x+\theta\left(\mu_{i}(S)-\mu_{1}(S)\right)\right) L(d \theta)
$$

is Lipschitz of constant $\frac{2 K}{\varepsilon \rho}$ where $K \geq \sup _{0 \leq x \leq 1}\left|f_{i}(x)\right|$ if $i \neq 1$, and $f_{1}^{\varepsilon}=f_{1}$.
For $\varepsilon \leq x_{0}<\cdots<x_{k} \leq 1-\varepsilon$, and $\alpha_{i}=\mu_{i}(S)-\mu_{1}(S)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|f_{1}\left(x_{j}\right)-f_{1}\left(x_{j-1}\right)\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{j}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{j-1}\right)\right| \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{i=2}^{n} f_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{j}\right)-\sum_{i=2}^{n} f_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left(x_{j-1}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon}\left[f_{i}\left(x_{j}+\theta \alpha_{i}\right)-f_{i}\left(x_{j-1}+\theta \alpha_{i}\right)\right] L(d \theta)\right|+n \frac{2 K}{\varepsilon \rho} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[f_{i}\left(x_{j}+\theta \alpha_{i}\right)-f_{i}\left(x_{j-1}+\theta \alpha_{i}\right)\right]\right| L(d \theta)+n \frac{2 K}{\varepsilon \rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

As for every $0<\theta<\varepsilon$, the sequence $x_{j}+\theta S-\theta \mu_{1}(S)$ is a chain of ideal coalitions, the right-hand side is bounded by $\left\|\sum f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}\right\|+2 n K / \varepsilon \rho$. Therefore $f_{1}$ has bounded variation on $[\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$.

Step 2: $\exists g_{i} \in b v^{\prime}$ such that $h_{i}=f_{i}-g_{i}$ is locally absolutely continuous on $] 0,1$.

Let $h_{i}^{0}(x)=\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{x} f_{i}^{\prime}(y) d y$ (the absolutely continuous part of $f$ ), $g_{i}=$ $f_{i}-h_{i}^{0}, f_{i}^{\varepsilon}(x)=f_{i}(\varepsilon+(1-2 \varepsilon) x)-f_{i}(\varepsilon)$, and similarly $g_{i}^{\varepsilon}$; by step $1, f_{i}^{\varepsilon} \in b v$. If $f_{i}$ is continuous at $\varepsilon$ and $1-\varepsilon, f_{i}^{\varepsilon} \in b v^{v}$. Given a chain $S_{1} \subset \cdots S_{k}, \chi_{i}=\varepsilon+(1-2 \varepsilon) S_{i}$ is a chain of ideal coalitions and $f_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left(\mu_{i}\left(S_{j}\right)\right)=f_{i}\left(\mu_{i}\left(\chi_{j}\right)\right)$, so:

$$
\left\|\sum f_{i}^{\varepsilon} \circ \mu_{i}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}\right\|
$$

By Aumann and Shapley (1974, 8.17, p. 65), $\left\|\sum f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}\right\| \geq\left\|\sum f_{i}^{\varepsilon} \circ \mu_{i}\right\| \geq$ $\sum\left\|g_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right\|$ and thus $\left\|g_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right\|$ is bounded in $\varepsilon$ and $g_{i} \in b v$. Therefore, $g_{i}$ has limits at 0 and 1 . Hence defining $\bar{g}_{i}(x)=g_{i}(x)-\lim _{y \rightarrow 0+} g_{i}(y), \bar{g}_{i}(0)=0$, and $\bar{g}_{i}(1)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 1-} \bar{g}_{i}(x), \bar{g}_{i} \in b v^{\prime}$. Setting $h_{i}=f_{i}-\bar{g}_{i}$ we conclude that $h_{i}$ is absolutely continuous on $] 0,1[$.
Step 3: Smoothing $h_{i}$.
For $n=1, \cdots$, let $h_{i}^{n}$ be a smooth function on an open neighborhood of $\left[2^{-n}, 1-2^{-n}\right]$ that coincides on $\left[2^{-(n-1)}, 1-2^{-(n-1)}\right]$ with $h_{i}^{n-1}$ and at $2^{-n}$ and $1-2^{-n}$ with $h_{i}$, and whose variation distance to $h_{i}$ on this open neighborhood is $\leq 1-2^{-n}$. Then $h_{i}^{\infty}$ is $C^{\infty}$ on $] 0,1[$, and with $g_{i}=h_{i}-h_{i}^{\infty},\left\|g_{i}\right\| \leq 1$, so $g_{i}$ has limits at 0 and 1 : extend $g_{i}$ to $[0,1]$ by those limits, then subtract $g_{i}(0)$ from it: we have a function $g_{i} \in b v^{\prime}$ such that $h_{i}-g_{i}$ is $C^{\infty}$ on $] 0,1[$.
Step 4: Continuity of $h_{i}$.
For (1), it remains to prove continuity at 0 and 1 , say of $h_{1}$ at 0 . Otherwise, e.g., lim sup ${ }_{x \rightarrow 0+} h_{1}(x)>0$ (or change the sign of the game). Then choose $0<\beta<\lim \sup _{x \rightarrow 0+} h_{1}(x)$, and a sequence $x_{i}$ decreasing to 0 such that $h_{1}\left(x_{i}\right)>\beta$. Let $y_{i}=\min \left\{x \mid h_{1}(y) \geq \beta / 2\right.$ for $\left.x \leq y \leq x_{i}\right\}$. By continuity, the min is achieved and $y_{i} \leq x_{i}$, and $h_{1} \in^{\prime}, h_{1}(0)=0$ imply $y_{i}>0$. So, for a subsequence, $x_{i+1}<y_{i}$.

Let $\chi(z)=z\left(\left(1-\theta \mu_{1}(S)\right) I+\theta S\right)$, and $H_{i}(z)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(h_{i} \circ \mu_{i}\right)(\chi(z)) d \theta$ : $H_{1}=h_{1}$ and for $i \neq 1 H_{i}(z)=\frac{1}{z\left(\mu_{i}(S)-\mu_{1}(S)\right)} \int_{z}^{z\left(1+\mu_{i}(S)-\mu_{1}(S)\right)} h_{i}(x) d x$ converges with $z$ to 0 since $h_{i} \in^{\prime}$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $\forall x \leq x_{1},\left|H_{i}(x)\right|<$ $\beta /(8 n)$.

Now let $\chi_{2 k-1}=\chi\left(x_{k}\right), \chi_{2 k}=\chi\left(y_{k}\right): \forall \theta \in[0,1]$, the $\chi_{k}$ form a decreasing chain of ideal coalitions (assuming $x_{1} \leq 1 / 2$ ). Hence $\forall \theta, \sum_{k}\left|v\left(\chi_{2 k-1}\right)-v\left(\chi\left(x_{k}\right)\right)\right| \leq\|v\|$, so, by Jensen, taking expectations inside w.r.t. $\theta, \sum_{k}\left|\sum_{i}\left(H_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)-H_{i}\left(y_{k}\right)\right)\right| \leq\|v\|$. But $H_{1}\left(x_{k}\right)-H_{1}\left(y_{k}\right)=$ $h_{1}\left(x_{k}\right)-h_{1}\left(y_{k}\right) \geq \beta / 2$ by construction, and for $i \neq 1 H_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)-H_{i}\left(y_{k}\right)>$ $-\beta /(4 n)$, so that $\sum_{i}\left(H_{i}\left(x_{k}\right)-H_{i}\left(y_{k}\right)\right)>\beta / 4$, a contradiction.
Step 5: Continuity in variation.
By (1), it suffices to prove this when $f_{i}=h_{i}$, since a game $g \circ \mu$ with $g \in b v^{\prime}$ and $\mu \in A N_{1}$ is clearly continuous in variation at 0 and 1 , and this continuity is preserved when summing games. If the result were not true, there would be a sequence $\chi_{k}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that
$\mu\left(\chi_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ (with $\mu=\sum_{i} \mu_{i}$ ) and $\forall k \operatorname{Var}(v)\left[0, \chi_{k}\right]>\varepsilon$. Now fix a chain $\chi_{j}^{\prime}$ with variation $>\|v\|-\varepsilon$. Let $\chi_{j}^{k}=\max \left(\chi_{j}^{\prime}, \chi_{k}\right)$. Observe that $0 \leq \mu_{i}\left(\chi_{j}^{k}\right)-\mu_{i}\left(\chi_{j}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mu_{i}\left(\chi^{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$; hence, by continuity of $h_{i}$ (step 4 ), for $k$ sufficiently large the variation of $v$ on the chain $\chi_{j}^{k}$ is $>\|v\|-\varepsilon$. Take a chain $\chi_{l}^{\prime \prime} \leq \chi_{k}$ with variation $>\varepsilon$. Then the variation of $v$ on the chain consisting of the $\chi_{l}^{\prime \prime}$ followed by the $\chi_{j}^{k}$ is $>\|v\|$ : a contradiction.
Corollary 1. 'AN $\cap B V \subseteq b v ' A N D$ where bv'AND is the closed space spanned by bv'AN and all games of bounded variation that vanish on an $A N$-diagonal neighborhood.
Proof. By lemma 3 any game in ' $N A \cap B V$ is approximated by the sum of a game in $b v A N$ and a game $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}$ where the $f_{i}$ are continuous on $[0,1]$ and smooth on its interior. It suffices to prove that $v \in b v^{\prime} A N D$. Fix $\varepsilon>0$, and by the previous lemma take $\delta>0$ such that $\operatorname{Var}(v)[0, \chi]<\varepsilon$ whenever $\mu(\chi)<\delta$. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a smooth monotone function with $g(x)=0$ for $x \leq \delta / 2$ and $g(x)=1$ for $x \geq \delta$. Define $w=(g \circ \mu) \times v$. It follows that for $\chi$ with $\mu(\chi) \geq \delta$, $w(\chi)=v(\chi)$, and for $\chi$ with $\mu(\chi)=\delta, \operatorname{Var}(w)[0, \chi] \leq 2 \operatorname{Var}(v)[0, \chi]$. Therefore the variation of $v-w$ is bounded by $3 \varepsilon$. Also, $w$ is smooth on a neighborhood of $[0,1 / 2]$. Handling the neighborhood of 1 similarly, we can approximate the game $v$ by a game $w$ which is smooth on a neighborhood of the diagonal, hence in $b v^{\prime} A N D$.

The equalities ' $N A \cap B V=b v^{\prime} N A$ and ' $A N \cap B V=b v^{\prime} A N$ may depend on the space of players $(I, \mathscr{C})$. To state ' $N A \cap B V=b v^{\prime} N A \Leftrightarrow^{\prime} A N \cap B V=$ $b v^{\prime} A N$, given that spaces depend on $(I, \mathscr{C})$ :
Proposition 2. If ' $N A \cap B V=b v ' N A(\neq\{0\})$ or ${ }^{\prime} A N \cap B V=b v A N$ $(\neq\{0\})$ for some $(I, \mathscr{C})$ where NA or $A N$ respectively are $\neq\{0\}$, then both equalities hold for all $(I, \mathscr{C})$.
Proof. Step 1: Fix a player set $(I, \mathscr{C})$ and finitely many elements $\mu_{1}, \ldots$, $\mu_{n}, \nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{k}$ in $A N_{1}$. We prove first that if $f_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, are continuous on $[0,1]$ and smooth on its interior, and $g_{j}=g_{j}^{s}+g_{j}^{a c} \in b v^{\prime}, 1 \leq j \leq k$, with $g_{j}^{a c}$ absolutely continuous and $g_{j}^{s}$ singular, then

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j}^{a c} \circ \nu_{j}\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j} \circ \nu_{j}\right\|
$$

Indeed, let $\Omega$ : $\chi_{0} \leq \ldots \leq \chi_{m}$ be an increasing chain of ideal coalitions so that $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j}^{a c} \circ \nu_{j}\right\|_{\Omega}>\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j}^{a c} \circ \nu_{j}\right\|-\varepsilon$. Using the continuity of the functions $f_{i}$ and $g_{j}^{a c}$ we may assume w.l.o.g. that there is $\delta>0$ such that $\delta<\chi_{0} \leq \chi_{m}<1-\delta$. Consider the increasing path of ideal coalitions $\chi(t)=\chi_{j}+(m t-j)\left(\chi_{j+1}-\chi_{j}\right)$ for $j / m \leq t \leq(j+1) / m, 0 \leq t \leq 1$. The function $t \mapsto$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(\mu_{i}(\chi(t))\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j}\left(\nu_{j}(\chi(t))\right)$ is a sum of an absolutely continuous function $t \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n^{j}} f_{i}\left(\mu_{i}(\chi(t))\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j}^{a c}\left(\nu_{j}(\chi(t))\right)$ and a singular function $t \mapsto-\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j}^{s}\left(\nu_{j}(\chi(t))\right)$ and therefore its variation over [0, 1] is $\geq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j}^{a c} \circ \nu_{j}\right\|_{\Omega} \geq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j}^{a c} \circ \nu_{j}\right\|-\varepsilon$.

Step 2: Every game $v=f \circ \vec{\mu} \in b v^{\prime} N A$, where $\vec{\mu}=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$ is a vector of $N A_{+}$elements, can be approximated by a game $w=\sum g_{i} \circ \nu_{i}$ such that all $\nu_{i} \in N A_{+}$are dominated by $\mu=\sum \mu_{j}$ : indeed, if $w=$ $\sum g_{i} \circ \nu_{i}$ with $\nu_{i} \in N A_{+}$, let $\nu=\sum \nu_{j}$ and $B=\{x \mid d \mu / d(\mu+\nu)(x)>0\}$ and set $\tilde{\nu}_{i}(C)=\nu(C \cap B)$. Let $\tilde{w}=\sum g_{i} \circ \tilde{\nu}_{i}$. Then the variation of $v-\tilde{w}$ over a chain $C_{1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C_{n}$ equals that of $v-w$ over $C_{1} \cap B \subseteq \cdots \subseteq C_{n} \cap B$; hence $\|v-\tilde{w}\| \leq\|v-w\|$. Modify $g_{i}$ to be left-continuous at $\tilde{\nu}_{i}(I)$ if needed. ${ }^{1}$

Step 3: We now prove that if ' $N A \cap B V=b v^{\prime} N A \neq\{0\}$ for $\left(I^{\prime}, \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right)$, then ' $N A \cap B V=b v^{\prime} N A$ for $(I, \mathscr{C})$. Fix $\nu \in N A_{1}$ on $\left(I^{\prime}, \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right)$. It suffices, by lemma 3 , to prove that $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i} \in b v N A$ when $v \in B V$, $\mu_{i} \in N A_{1}(I, \mathscr{C})$, and the $f_{i}$ are continuous on $[0,1]$ and smooth on its interior. Let $\mu$ be the average of the $\mu_{i}$. Let $f$ be a Radon-Nikodym derivative of the vector $\mu_{i}$ w.r.t. $\mu$. Further, for each atom $x_{k}$ of the distribution of $f$ under $\mu$, let $I_{k}=f^{-1}\left(x_{k}\right)$, and construct, for each rational $r \in[0,1]$, a measurable subset $I_{k}^{r}$ of $I_{k}$ with $I_{k}^{r} \subseteq I_{k}^{s}$ for $r<s$ and $\mu\left(I_{k}^{r}\right)=r \mu\left(I_{k}\right)$. Let $\mathscr{C}_{0}$ be the separable sub- $\sigma$-field of $\mathscr{C}$ spanned by $f$ and the $I_{k}^{r}$. Similarly, let $\mathscr{C}_{0}^{\prime}$ be a separable sub- $\sigma$-field of $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ on which $\nu$ is still non-atomic. The separable measure algebras $\left\langle\mathscr{C}_{0}, \mu\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\mathscr{C}_{0}^{\prime}, \nu\right\rangle$ are isomorphic. This isomorphism induces an isometry $h$ from $L_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{0}, \mu\right)$ to $L_{1}\left(\mathscr{C}_{0}^{\prime}, \nu\right)$. The isomorphism $h$ induces maps $H$ and $H^{\prime}$ acting on all measures absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu$ respectively $\nu$ to those absolutely continuous with respect to $\nu$ and $\mu$ respectively such that $H(\xi)=h\left(\left.\frac{d \xi}{d \mu}\right|_{\mathscr{\sigma}_{0}}\right) d \nu$ and $H^{\prime}(\eta)=h^{-1}\left(\left.\frac{d \eta}{d \nu}\right|_{\mathscr{E}_{0}^{\prime}}\right) d \mu$. The isometry of the $L_{1}$ spaces induces one of their duals $L_{\infty}$ and therefore preserves all (relevant, i.e., $\mathscr{C}_{0}$-measurable) chains. It maps the game $v$ to a game $h(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ H\left(\mu_{i}\right) \in B V$ on $\left(I^{\prime}, \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore by our assumption $h(v) \in b v^{\prime} N A$ and thus, by step 2, it can be approximated by a game $w=\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j} \circ \nu_{j}$ with $\nu_{j}$ dominated by $\nu$. Therefore, the game $h^{-1}(w)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j} \circ H^{\prime}\left(\nu_{j}\right)$ approximates the game $v$.

Step 4: It remains to show that $\forall(I, \mathscr{C}) \exists\left(I^{\prime}, \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right)$ such that ' $A N \cap B V=$ $b v^{\prime} A N \neq\{0\}$ on $(I, \mathscr{C})$ iff ' $N A \cap B V=b v^{\prime} N A \neq\{0\}$ on $\left(I^{\prime}, \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right)$. For this, let $I^{\prime}$ be the Stone space $S$ of $B(I, \mathscr{C})$, i.e., a compact space whose algebra of continuous functions, $C(S)$, is isomorphic to $B(I, \mathscr{C})$. Endow $S$ with the (Baire) $\sigma$-field $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ spanned by the continuous functions. Every measure $\lambda \in A N(I, \mathscr{C})$ thus becomes a continuous linear functional $h(\lambda)$ on $C(S)$, i.e., by Riesz's theorem $h$ induces an isometry between $A N(I, \mathscr{C})$ and $N A\left(I^{\prime}, \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right)$. It maps a game $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}$ on $(I, \mathscr{C})$ to a game $h(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ h\left(\mu_{i}\right)$ on $\left(I^{\prime}, \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\|h(v)\|=\|v\|$ when the functions $f_{i}$ are continuous, and thus $h(v) \in B V$. If $\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j} \circ \nu_{j}$ approximates $h(v)$ then $\sum_{j} g_{j} \circ h^{-1}\left(\nu_{j}\right)$ approximates $v$ which proves the "if" part, (without any need for step 2). For the converse, $h^{-1}$ maps the game $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}$ on $\left(I^{\prime}, \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right)$ to a game $h^{-1}(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ h^{-1}\left(\mu_{i}\right)$

[^0]on $(I, \mathscr{C})$ with $\left\|h^{-1}(v)\right\| \leq\|v\|$, and thus $h^{-1}(v) \in B V$. Assuming ${ }^{\prime} A N \cap B V=b v^{\prime} A N$, there is a finite sum $\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j} \circ \nu_{j}$ with continuous functions $g_{j}$ that approximate $h^{-1}(v)$. As the functions $f_{i}$ are also continuous, $\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j} \circ h\left(\nu_{j}\right)$ approximate $v$ : the variation of the game $v-\sum_{j=1}^{k} g_{j} \circ h\left(\nu_{j}\right)$ over a chain of ideal coalitions in $\left(I^{\prime}, \mathscr{C}^{\prime}\right)$ is approximated by its variation over a chain of continuous ideal coalitions and thus $\left\|v-\sum_{j} g_{j} \circ h\left(\nu_{j}\right)\right\|=\left\|h^{-1}(v)-\sum_{j} g_{j} \circ \nu_{j}\right\|$.

Remarks: 1) To have $A N_{1} \neq \emptyset$, it is necessary and sufficient that $\# \mathscr{C}=\infty$. The necessity is clear; for the sufficiency, $\# \mathscr{C}=\infty$ implies the existence of a sequence $x_{n}$ in $I$ such that $n \neq m \Rightarrow \exists C \in \mathscr{C}: x_{n} \in$ $C, x_{m} \notin C$, and hence of a countable measurable partition $C_{n}$ such that $\forall n, x_{n} \in C_{n}$. For $f \in B(I, \mathscr{C})$ let $p(f)=\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right) / n$, and choose by Hahn-Banach a linear functional $\mu$ on $B(I, \mathscr{C})$ with $\mu \leq p$. The linear functional $\mu$ is a finitely additive measure on $(I, \mathscr{C})$. For every $n \mu\left(\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k n+i}\right) \leq 1 / n\right.$ and $\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k n+i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ is a finite measurable partition of $I$. Therefore, $\mu$ is non-atomic.
2) We have no such characterization for $N A$ for general $(I, \mathscr{C})$, but: For the existence of a non-atomic, non-null regular borel measure on a Hausdorff space $X$, it is necessary and sufficient that $X$ has a compact perfect subset. For the necessity, by regularity we have then such a measure with compact support, and by non-atomicity this support must be perfect. For the sufficiency we can assume $X$ compact and perfect, observe then that the set of probability measures having at least one atom of mass $\leq 1 / n$ is closed in the weak ${ }^{*}$ topology, and with empty interior by perfectness. and use the Baire category theorem.

Proposition 3. For every player set with $\# \mathscr{C}=\infty$ the following are equivalent:

1) If $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i} \in B V$ with $\left(f_{i}, \mu_{i}\right) \in{ }^{\prime} \times A N_{1}$ and $\mu_{i} \neq \mu_{j}$ for $i \neq j$, then $\forall i, f_{i} \in b v^{\prime}$.
2) If $F:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}:(x, y) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(a_{i} x+\left(1-a_{i}\right) y\right)$ has bounded variation, where $f_{i} \in C([0,1])$ are smooth on $] 0,1\left[\right.$ and $0<a_{1}<\cdots<$ $a_{n}<1$, then $\forall i, f_{i} \in b v^{\prime}$.

Proof. $\# \mathscr{C}=\infty$ implies that there are two mutually singular measures in $A N_{1}$. So $1 \Rightarrow 2$ is obvious. For $2 \Rightarrow 1$, assume $v=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i} \in B V$ with $\left(f_{i}, \mu_{i}\right) \in^{\prime} \times A N_{1}$ and $\mu_{i} \neq \mu_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. We have to prove that each $f_{i}$ has bounded variation. By lemma 3 we can assume w.l.o.g. that the $f_{i}$ are continuous on $[0,1]$ and smooth on its interior. There is a coalition $S \in \mathscr{C}$ such that $0 \neq \mu_{i}(S) \neq \mu_{j}(S) \neq 1$ if $i \neq j$. Set $a_{i}=\mu_{i}(S)$. As $v$ has bounded variation over ideal coalitions and $v(x S+y \complement S)=F(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\left(a_{i} x+\left(1-a_{i}\right) y\right)$, the function $F$ has bounded variation over the square $[0,1]^{2}$ and thus by (2), each $f_{i}$ has bounded variation.

Proposition 4. If the player set is standard Borel, the equality ' $N A \cap B V=b v^{\prime} N A$ implies that there is a unique value on ' $N A$.

Proof. Let $\varphi$ be a value on 'NA. By assumption any game $v \in{ }^{\prime} N A$ is a sum of a game $u \in b v^{\prime} N A$ and a finite sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}$ with $f_{i} \in{ }^{\prime}$ and $\mu_{i} \in N A_{1}$. Thus, if $\psi$ is the unique value on $b v^{\prime} N A$, $\varphi v=\psi u+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(1) \mu_{i}$, i.e. $\varphi v$ is uniquely defined.

Even when $(I, \mathscr{C})$ is standard Borel, there are many values of norm 1 on ' $A N$, that differ already on $p A N$. E.g., decompose every $\mu$ in $A N_{+}$as $\mu^{1}+\mu^{2}$, with $\mu^{1}$ carried by a countable set and $\mu^{2}$ vanishing on each such set. Fix a smooth increasing path (cf. Hart, 1973; Haimenko, 2000) $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]^{2}$ with $\gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=1$. For simplicity, assume $\gamma$ is affine in a neighborhood of 0 and of 1 . For $f$ continuous on $[0,1]$ and $C^{1}$ on its interior, let $\left[\varphi_{\gamma}(f \circ \mu)\right](S)=$ $\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{1}(t) \mu^{1}(1)+\gamma_{2}(t) \mu^{2}(1)\right)\left[\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(t) \mu^{1}(S)+\gamma_{2}^{\prime}(t) \mu^{2}(S)\right] d t$, as a Denjoy integral. For $f \in b v^{\prime}$, let $\left[\varphi_{\gamma}(f \circ \mu)\right](S)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{1}\left[f\left(\min \left(1, \gamma_{1}(t) \mu^{1}(1)+\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\gamma_{2}(t) \mu^{2}(1)+\epsilon\right)\right)-f\left(\gamma_{1}(t) \mu^{1}(1)+\gamma_{2}(t) \mu^{2}(1)\right)\right]\left[\gamma_{1}^{\prime}(t) \mu^{1}(S)+\gamma_{2}^{\prime}(t) \mu^{2}(S)\right] d t$. Observe that both formulas coincide on the intersection of those 2 spaces, hence define by linearity $\varphi_{\gamma}(f \circ \mu)$ uniquely for all $f$ in their sum $X$. Fix a Hamel basis for $X$, and complete it to a Hamel basis of ${ }^{\prime}$ : the additional basis vectors span a space $Y$, such that every $f \in{ }^{\prime}$ has a unique decomposition $f=f^{1}+f^{2}$ with $f^{1} \in X$ and $f^{2} \in Y$. Define then $\varphi_{\gamma}(f \circ \mu)=\varphi_{\gamma}\left(f^{1} \circ \mu\right)+f^{2}(1) \mu$. Now $\left\|\sum_{i} \varphi_{\gamma}\left(f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\sum_{i} f_{i} \circ \mu_{i}\right\|:$ indeed, if the right hand member is finite, lemma 3.1 implies that $f_{i} \in X \forall i$; the verification is then straightforward. This defines therefore $\varphi_{\gamma}$ as a value of norm 1 on a dense subspace of ' $A N$, hence on ' $A N$.
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